

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending. Claims 9-16 were previously canceled in response to a restriction requirement. Claim 1 is amended herein. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and requests allowance of claims 1-8.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph, Rejection

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement (see page 2 of the final Office Action).

A phone discussion with the Examiner was conducted on March 11, 2010, and amendments were discussed to bring the claims into allowance. As per the phone discussion, amendments have been made to claim 1 to further detail the network information of the first service provider and the processing of the third-party information.

The Applicant respectfully contends that in light of the above remarks, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, regarding claim 1 have been met. Claims 2-8 depend from independent claim 1, thus incorporating the provisions of claim 1. Thus, the Applicant respectfully contends that claims 2-8 are allowable for at least the reasons presented above in support of claim 1, and such indication is respectfully requested.

Thus, the Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of claims 1-8 based upon 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph, Rejection

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter (see page 2 of the final Office Action).

A phone discussion with the Examiner was conducted on March 11, 2010, and amendments were discussed to bring the claims into allowance. As per the phone discussion, amendments have been made to claim 1 to further detail the network information of the first service provider and the processing of the third-party information.

The Applicant respectfully contends that in light of the above remarks, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, regarding claim 1 have been met. Claims 2-8 depend from independent claim 1, thus incorporating the provisions of claim 1. Thus, the Applicant

respectfully contends that claims 2-8 are allowable for at least the reasons presented above in support of claim 1, and such indication is respectfully requested.

Thus, the Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of claims 1-8 based upon 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above remarks, the Applicant submits that the claims in their present form are allowable. Additional reasons in support of patentability exist, but such reasons are omitted in the interests of clarity and brevity. The Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the claims at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

The Applicant hereby authorizes the Office to charge Deposit Account No. 21-0765 the appropriate fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for a one-month extension of time. The Applicant believes there are no other fees due with respect to this filing. However, should the Office determine additional fees are necessary, the Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 21-0765.

Respectfully submitted,

/David J. Bovitz/

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

David J. Bovitz, Reg. No. 61,911

Setter Roche LLP

Telephone: (720) 562-2280

E-mail: dave@setterroche.com

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 28004

Attn: Melissa A. Jobe

Sprint

6391 Sprint Parkway

Mailstop: KSOPHT0101-Z2100

Overland Park, KS 66251-2100